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**Who Is the Governance Study Group?**

**The New Mexico Water Dialogue sponsored a statewide regional water planning meeting, July 2015 at Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge**

* **Broad regional water planning attendance:**
  + 14 of the 16 water planning regions, including NMWD Board and ISC staff
* **Discussion of ISC’s current round of regional water planning**
  + Positive and negative experiences documented in the facilitator’s report
  + Substantial flaws in the process and product were reported
* **One outgrowth of meeting was the “Governance Study Group” (GSG)**
  + All-volunteer group of nine water planners from around New Mexico
  + Objective was to identify needed improvements to planning process

**Current Regional Water Planning Cycle**

* **Fundamental issues**
* Limited resources (time and dollars)
* Artificial and misleading technical approach
* Limited objectives (mostly project lists, rather than problem solving)
* Lack of participation incentives
* Insufficient participation – public and institutional
* Almost entirely state, rather than regional, process

**What Did GSG Do?**

* The group met ten times, researched water planning processes

in other western states, and considered New Mexico experiences

* Identified six topic areas that need serious improvement in NM
* Developed and refined issue papers which described problems and

recommended remedies for each topic area

* Key ingredients for the next round of water planning

are in the summary of findings and suggested next steps

* The summary and six issue papers are on-line

at NMWaterDialogue.org under “Water Governance”

* Met twice with ISC staff leadership,

who acknowledged these issues and remedies, and encouraged us to proceed

**What Areas Need Improvement?**

* **Governance and institutional arrangements**
  + Establish representative regional groups
  + Recognize ongoing planning as a problem solving process
  + Provide a negotiating table space
* **Strengthening linkages**
  + Connections among plan levels, plan topics, and planning neighbors
* **Public participation**
  + Create incentives for broad participation and more effective input
* **Tribal participation**
  + Consultation and better collaboration for meaningful two-way dialogue
* **Technical information**
  + Must be credible, relevant and address regional issues
* **Water rights adjudication**
  + Plans must take ownership and claims into account

**What Initial Steps Might the Legislature Take?**

* **Support grassroots regional collaborations**
* **Honoring §72-14-43, recognize and support regional water planning entities**
* **Establish statewide working groups to refine the recommendations**

**for state and regional water planning:**

* **Public participation and tribal participation**
* **Technical data**
* **Adjudication**

**The GSG would be happy to participate.**